cheeks by 17 8/23/16

CETIFICATION

SDG No:

MC47102

Laboratory:

Accutest, Massachusetts

Site:

BMSMC, Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR Matrix:

Groundwater

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY:

Groundwater samples (Table 1) were collected on the BMSMC facility – Phase 2A Release Assessment Area. The BMSMC facility is located in Humacao, PR. Samples were taken July 28-29, 2016 and were analyzed in Accutest Laboratory of Marlborough, Massachusetts that reported the data under SDG No.: MC47102. Results were validated using the following quality control criteria of the methods employed (MAPED EPH, Massachusets Department of Environmental Protection, 2004) and the latest validation guidelines (July, 2015) of the EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section. The analyses performed are shown in Table 1. Individual data review worksheets are enclosed for each target analyte group. The data sample organic data samples summary form shows for analytes results that were qualified.

In summary the results are valid and can be used for decision taking purposes.

Table 1. Samples analyzed and analysis performed

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	ANALYSIS PERFORMED
MC47102-1	OSMW-2D	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC47102-2	OSMW-2S	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC47102-3	OSMW-2SD	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC47102-4	OSMW-1D	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC47102-5	OSMW-1S	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC47102-6	EB072816	AQ – Equipment Blank	Extractable TPHC Ranges

Méndez

Reviewer Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

August 15, 2016

591709

Raw Data: DE15053.D

SGS Accutest

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sar	nple ID: OSMW	/-2D						
Lab Samp	ole ID: MC471	102-1				Date	Sampled: 0	7/28/16
Matrix:	AQ - G	round Wat	ег			Date	Received: 0	7/30/16
Method:	MADE	P EPH RE	V 1.1 SW846	3510C		Pero	ent Solids: n	/a
Project:	BMSM	C Phase 2/	A Release Asse	ssment, H	umacao, P	R		
	File ID	DF	Analyzed	Ву	Prep D)ate	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1 Run #2	DE15053.D	1	08/01/16	TA	07/31/1	16	OP48304	GDE838
	Initial Volume	Final Vo	olume					
Run #1 Run #2	960 ml	2.0 ml						
CAS No.	Compound		Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q	
83-32-9	Acenaphthene		ND	5.2	1.6	ug/l		
208-96-8	Acenaphthylen	c	ND	5.2	0.37	ug/I		
120-12-7	Anthracene		ND	5.2	0.60	ug/i		
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthra	cene	ND	5.2	0.32	ug/I		
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyren	e	ND	5.2	0.30	ug/l		
205-99-2	Benzo(b) fluora		ND	5.2	0.47	ug/l		
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)pe		ND	5.2	0.39	ug/l		
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluora		ND	5.2	0.37	ug/l		
218-01-9	Chrysene		ND	5.2	0.45	ug/l		
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)ant	hracene	NĐ	5.2	0.40	ug/l		
206-44-0	Fluoranthene		ND	5.2	0.35	ug/l		
86-73-7	Fluorene		ND	5.2	0.41	ug/l		
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-c	d)pyrene	ND	5.2	0.30	ug/l		
01 55 0	0.37 / 1	1 8 9	NID		45. 457			

5.2

5.2

5.2

5.2

100

100

100

0.47

1.0

0.32

0.62

30

17

28

ug/l

ug/l

ug/l

ug/l

ug/l

ug/l

ug/I

	C11-C22 Aromatics	ND	100	30 ug/l
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1 321-60-8 3386-33-2 580-13-2	o-Terphenyl 2-Fluorobiphenyl 1-Chlorooctadecane 2-Bromonaphthalene	118% 65% 126% 72%		40-140% 40-140% 40-140% 40-140%

ND

ND

ND

ND

ND

ND

ND



91-57-6

91-20-3

85-01-8

129-00-0

2-Methylnaphthalene

C9-C18 Aliphatics

C19-C36 Aliphatics

C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)

Naphthalene

Phenanthrene

Pyrene

ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sam Lab Samp Matrix: Method: Project:	le ID: MC47 AQ - MAD	W-2S 7102-2 Ground Water EP EPH REV MC Phase 2A F			nacao, P	Date Per c	e Sampled: e Received: cent Solids:	07/28/16 07/30/16 n/a
Run #1 Run #2	File ID DE15054.D	DF 1	Analyzed 08/01/16	By TA	Prep D 07/31/1		Prep Batel OP48304	h Analytical Batch GDE838
Run #1 Run #2	Initial Volum 950 ml	e Final Volu 2.0 ml	me					
CAS No.	Compound		Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q	
83-32-9 208-96-8 120-12-7 56-55-3 50-32-8 205-99-2 191-24-2 207-08-9 218-01-9 53-70-3 206-44-0 86-73-7 193-39-5 91-57-6 91-20-3 85-01-8 129-00-0	C9-C18 Alipi C19-C36 Ali	racene racene re ranthene ranthene ranthene ranthene rthracene rthalene matics (Unadj. hatics	ND ND	5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3	1.6 0.37 0.61 0.32 0.31 0.47 0.39 0.37 0.46 0.41 0.35 0.42 0.31 0.48 1.0 0.32 0.63 30 18	ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l		
CAS No.	C11-C22 Arc		ND Run#1	110 Run# 2	30 Lim	ug/l its	age N	OCHOO DE PILE
84-15-1 321-60-8 3386-33-2 580-13-2	o-Terphenyl 2-Fluorobiph 1-Chloroocta 2-Bromonaph	decane	82% 71% 73% 79%		40-1 40-1	40% 40% 40% 40%	17	nfael Infante Méndez AC # 1888

ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

 $B \,=\, Indicates \,\, analyte \,\, found \,\, in \,\, associated \,\, method \,\, blank$

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Run #1

990 ml

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Sampled: 07/28/16 Received: 07/30/16

Q

Client Sample ID:	OSMW-2SD		
Lab Sample ID:	MC47102-3	Date Sampled:	07/2
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Date Received:	07/3
Method:	MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C	Percent Solids:	n/a
Project:	BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment Humacao PR		

Initial Volume Final Volume

2.0 ml

	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE15055.D	1	08/01/16	TA	07/31/16	OP48304	GDE838
Run #2							

Run #2	2.0 In				
CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	5.1	1.6	ug/l
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	5.1	0.36	ug/l
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	5.1	0.58	ug/l
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	5.1	0.31	ug/l
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	5.1	0.30	ug/l
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	5.1	0.45	ug/l
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	5.1	0.37	ug/I
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	5.1	0.36	ug/l
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	5.1	0.44	ug/l
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	5.1	0.39	ug/I
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	5.1	0.34	ug/l
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	5.1	0.40	ug/I
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	5.1	0.30	ug/l
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	5.1	0.46	ug/l
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	5.1	0.97	ug/l
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	5.1	0.31	ug/l
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	5.1	0.60	ug/l
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	ND	100	29	ug/l
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	100	17	ug/l
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	100	27	ug/l
	C11-C22 Aromatics	ND	100	29	ug/I
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Lim	its
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	78%		40-1	40%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	82%		40-1	40%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	63%		40-1	40%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	90%		40-1	40%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

	Client Sample ID:	OSMW-1D
ı	Lab Sample ID:	MC47102-4
ı	Matrix:	AO - Ground Water

MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C

Date Sampled: 07/29/16 Date Received: 07/30/16

Method: Project:

BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Percent Solids: n/a

Q

	File ID	DF	Analyzed	Ву	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE15056.D	1	08/01/16	TA	07/31/16	OP48304	GDE838
Run #2							

	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	970 ml	2.0 ml

Ru	n	#2	

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	5.2	1.6	ug/l
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	5.2	0.37	ug/l
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	5.2	0.60	ug/l
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	5.2	0.31	ug/l
50-32-8	Benzo(a) pyrene	ND	5.2	0.30	ug/l
205-99-2	Benzo (b) fluoranthene	ND	5.2	0.46	ug/l
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	5.2	0.38	ug/l
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	5.2	0.36	ug/l
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	5.2	0.45	ug/l
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	5.2	0.40	ug/l
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	5.2	0.35	ug/l
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	5.2	0.41	ug/l
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	5.2	0.30	ug/l
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	5.2	0.47	ug/l
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	5.2	0.99	ug/l
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	5.2	0.31	ug/l
129-00-0	Ругепе	ND	5.2	0.62	ug/l
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	ND	100	30	ug/I
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	100	17	ug/l
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	100	28	ug/l
	C11-C22 Aromatics	ND	100	30	ug/I
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Lim	its
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	73%		40-1	40%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	75%		40-1	40%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	61%		40-1	40%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	83%		40-1	40%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

ĺ	Client Sample ID:	OSMW-1S
İ	Lab Sample ID:	MC47102-5
	Matrix:	AO - Cround Wat

Ground Water MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C Date Sampled: 07/29/16 Date Received: 07/30/16 Percent Solids: n/a

Q

Method: Project:

BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

	File ID	DF	Analyzed	Ву	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE15057.D	1	08/01/16	TA	07/31/16	OP48304	GDE838
Run #2							

Kun	#Z

	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	975 ml	2.0 ml

	R	u	n	#2
--	---	---	---	----

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units				
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	5.1	1.6	ug/l				
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	5.1	0.36	ug/l				
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	5.1	0.59	ug/l				
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	5.1	0.31	ug/l				
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	5.1	0.30	ug/l				
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	5.1	0.46	ug/l				
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	5.1	0.38	ug/l				
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	5.1	0.36	ug/I				
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	5.1	0.44	ug/l				
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	5.1	0.40	ug/l				
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	5.1	0.34	ug/I				
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	5.1	0.41	ug/l				
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	5.1	0.30	ug/l				
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	5.1	0.46	ug/l				
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	5.1	0.98	ug/I				
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	5.1	0.31	ug/l				
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	5.1	0.61	ug/l				
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	ND	100	29	ug/l				
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	100	17	ug/l				
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	100	28	ug/l				
	C11-C22 Aromatics	ND	100	29	ug/l				
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limi	ts				
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	80%		40-1	40%				
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	75%		40-1-	40%				
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	82%		40-1	40%				
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	83%	3% 40-140%						



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

07/28/16

Lab Sample ID:

Report of Analysis

li	ent	Sample	ID:	EB072816

MC47102-6 Date Sampled: AQ - Equipment Blank Date Received: 07/30/16

Matrix: Method: MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C Percent Solids: n/a

Project: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

					:		
	File ID	DF	Analyzed	Ву	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE15058.D	1	08/01/16	TA	07/31/16	OP48304	GDE838
Run #2							

	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	910 ml	2.0 ml

D	41.0
кшп	# 6

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	5.5	1.7	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	5.5	0.39	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	5.5	0.64	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	5.5	0.33	ug/I	
50-32-8	Вепго(а) ругепе	ND	5.5	0.32	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	5.5	0.49	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	5.5	0.41	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	5.5	0.39	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	5.5	0.48	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	5.5	0.43	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	5.5	0.37	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	5.5	0.44	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND 5.5		0.32	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	5.5	0.50	ug/l	
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	5.5	1.1	ug/l	
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	5.5	0.33	ug/l	
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	5.5	0.66	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	ND	110	31	ug/I	
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	110	18	ug/l	
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	110	30	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	ND	110	31	ug/l	
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Lim	its	1
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	79%		40-1	40%	
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	74%		40-1	40%	- {
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	78%		40-1	40%	- 1
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	82%		40-1	40%	



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

CHAIN OF								CUS	-									PAGE _ OF _									
	NE				50 D'Angolo D	r, Balda	ng Cinu, M	500.000	A, MA	01752					809960537412							Mc47102					
The state of the s						I-011-0200 FAX 500-001-7753 							_				_	Cot from NU									
25000	Ctient / Reporting information	The second	Prosect Name.		Project	Inform	sallion.	1000	100	100		2500	NEEDS	65		Rec		d Am	وشوراه	(200	TEST (CODE	eheet	-	20/6	Markir Codes	
C	day Mgraq															ľ			1						l		
Ande	rege Mulhalisms & Associates			ese ZA Ralagao	Аваненти	int								i		1		Į				ŀ	1		Child - Entring Winter GW - Greated Winter		
Small Address Street																i i		ı	1	1		1	ŀ		WW - Water SW - Surface Years		
2795 Westchanter Avenue, Suite 417 City Sain Zu City					-				السديوا	rem A		000			EL T	i			1	1		1	ı	1		8Q - Bali	
1.	nassa NY	•	1 '		PR	1								ı	ž.	l			1		ı				[St. States SEO-Seatment	
Purci	TOWNS	19677 6-mi	Protect #			Smeat /	جدفت	-			_			\dashv	BIKA				1		1	1	1	1		CI - Cid	
Tax	rry Tavkor		621																		1		1		H	AR - Air	
Phone		Fee, 6	Chart Purchase	Circler II		City			7	-			Zφ	\neg	e v		ÌΙ		1		ŀ	l	ĺ	1		SOL - Differ Select WP - Wipe	
	1-251-0400														월				1		1					FB-Flatt Stant.	
Sample	nyaj Navnofa)	Phone 6	Property Marray			America	PK.								Arometica		H				1	[1			E31-Gappetert Charb RS- Nitro Start	
N.	Overs, R. Stuert, R. O'Reiby, T. Ya	ytor	Terry Taylor												₹	_					ı		1			TO-Top Blank	
					Collection	1	-		-	No.	- 1	-		$\overline{}$	22	E				į.							
-					1			1	I. I.	r R	a l	اقار	A I K	Н	511.	BMA			1								
	Field ID / Point of Collection		MECHALI AM A	Date	Time .	=	-		18	ΣĮΞ	1	16	3 X	Ц	_	8								L		LAB USE ONLY	
1	OSMW-2D			7-21-16	1545	NR	GW	2	2					Ш	X	X							<u></u>				
-2	osaw - 25			7-28-16	1718	NR	GW	2	2	П	П	П	Т	П	X	X						Г					
T .	05MW - 25D			7-28-16	1730	NG	GW	2	121	П	П	П	7	П	X	X								\Box			
7	DSMW-ID			7-29-4	1048	40	GW	Z	2	\Box		П	\top	П	X	X			_								
1	05MW-15			7-29-16	11.50	7D	Gw/	2	1	П		\sqcap	\top	П	χ	¥									\neg		
									П			\sqcap		П													
									П	17	+	Ħ	\top	H	\neg	\neg			_	\vdash						168	
									H	\forall	+	††	+	H		\neg	\neg					Н	\vdash	\vdash		100	
									П	11	†	††	- -	H		\neg			\vdash						寸		
									m	\sqcap	+	П	\top	H	7			_									
17	EB072816			7-28-16	1132	NK	EB	2	2	Ħ	1	П	\top	П	x	\mathbf{x}	_		Г		П			H	_		
		12.45	TE 17 TH	2.00	100		Paral I				2 8	П	3 6			351	isti	57)	12/6/2	Terror	1025	16.700 6.700	1000	10 1-00 10 20 10 1-00 1	327		
inforting.	Turrenteret Toma (Business days)				Section 2	200000		Ditta	Dute	ratio I					and the	2000	remarks.	1525	recht	Com	-	Specie	l Iranya	Barre I	1000		
	Std. 15 Barbtons Days		بسال يلا إسحبها	And Phily I Balan				WATAT (L] 1177	MF C	بخوالا	γA	П									,		
	Dist. 10 Overlance Days (by Contract o	. [t /			i			PH ARA II IV		l		_	ASP C		y IDI	- 1		-0	ITIAI	ABE	-99M		11	_			
	14 Day RUSH	阿伊里	100	88				Lovel 3+4	1)			w# "	ta Paya			- 1					~	CHI.					
	2) a Day Emphasistry			##-			Li Periodi Commerci				듣] co	3 Perm	-		ŀ		-14	16.4	VER	Elos	7101					
1 they constructed						П.			MITAT (- Brown			_		-	- 1		40	WILL.	YER		HUN	14			- 1	
l ĉ	1 Day EMERGENCY							Comme				-	mary.			ŀ											
Ena	gerray & Photh TAX data eventada VAA I abbo	k						i i i											11								
	April 10 Dengths	Outs Tires		night Custody stu forming the				1000		Chan Madel					10g co	arter d		==		<u></u>	DA.	i lie		1/			
1 V	pr x plu	7-29-	6 1700	1 Fe	DEX				2			-	y .	6-				7/3	-/IL		2		14	1.			
3	delayed to a Representation of the second test of t	Com Phone		Accepted By:					4		ķi.						9	to Th	us:		4	i Bigr		,			
5	statued by:	Own These	, '	Control Og:					17	rted*	22	3	Ţ			•	-		-	-	-		97	1.3		-	

MC47102: Chain of Custody
Page 1 of 2

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No:

MC47102

Laboratory: Accutest, Massachusetts

Analysis:

MADEP EPH

Number of Samples:

Location:

BMSMC, Phase 2A Release Assessment Area

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY:

Six (6) samples were analyzed for Volatiles TPHC Ranges by method MADEP EPH. Samples were validated following the METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF EXTRACTABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (EPH) quality control criteria, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Revision 1.1 (2004). Also the general validation guidelines promulgated by the USEPA Hazardous Wastes Support Section. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Critical issues:

None

Мајог:

None

Minor:

None

Critical findings:

None

Major findings:

None

Minor findings:

None

COMMENTS:

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

August 15, 2016

SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: MC47102-1

Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/28/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable	
Acenaphthene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Acenaphthylene	5.2	ug/i	1	-	U	Yes	
Anthracene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(a)anthracene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(a)pyrene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Chrysene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Fluoranthene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Fluorene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
2-Methylnaphthalene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Naphthalene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Phenanthrene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	ี่ป	Yes	
Pyrene	5.2	ug/i	1	-	U	Yes	
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	100	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
C9-C18 Aliphatics	100	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
C19-C36 Aliphatics	100	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
C11-C22 Aromatics	100	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	

Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/28/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable	
Acenaphthene	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Acenaphthylene	5.3	ug/l	1	-	Ü	Yes	
Anthracene	5.3	ug/l	1	-	Ü	Yes	
Benzo(a)anthracene	5.3	ug/l	1	-	Ü	Yes	
Benzo(a)pyrene	5.3	ug/l	1	-	Ü	Yes	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5.3	ug/l	1	-	IJ	Yes	
Chrysene	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.3	ug/l	1	-	บ	Yes	
Fluoranthene	5.3	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes	
Fluorene	5.3	ug/l	1	_	Ü	Yes	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5.3	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes	
2-Methylnaphthalene	5.3	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes	
Naphthalene	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Phenanthrene	5.3		1	-	IJ		
Pyrene	5.3	ug/l		-	_	Yes	
•		ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	110	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
C9-C18 Aliphatics	110	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
C19-C36 Aliphatics	110	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
C11-C22 Aromatics	110	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	

Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/28/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable	
Acenaphthene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Acenaphthylene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Anthracene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(a)anthracene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(a)pyrene	5.1	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Chrysene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Fluoranthene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Fluorene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
2-Methylnaphthalene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Naphthalene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Phenanthrene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Pyrene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	100	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
C9-C18 Aliphatics	100	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
C19-C36 Aliphatics	100	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
C11-C22 Aromatics	100	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	

Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/29/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Acenaphthene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5.2	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Naphthalene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	5.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	100	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C9-C18 Aliphatics	100	ug/i	1	-	U	Yes
C19-C36 Aliphatics	100	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics	100	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes

.

Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/29/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Acenaphthene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	5.1	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes
Anthracene	5.1	ug/l	1	_	Ü	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	5.1	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	5.1	ug/l	1	_	Ü	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.1	ug/l	1	_	Ü	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5.1	ug/l	1	_	Ü	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5.1	ug/l	1	_	Ü	Yes
Chrysene	5.1	ug/l	1	_	Ü	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.1	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	5.1	ug/l	1	_	Ü	Yes
Fluorene	5.1	ug/l	1	_	Ü	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	Ü	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	5.1	ug/i	1	_	IJ	Yes
Naphthalene	5.1	ug/i	1	_	IJ	Yes
Phenanthrene	5.1	ug/l	1	_	Ü	Yes
Pyrene	5.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	100	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes
C9-C18 Aliphatics	100	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C19-C36 Aliphatics	100		1	-	U	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics	100	ug/l		-	_	
CTT-CSS WIGHLIGHT	TOO	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/28/2016

. . . . *

Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank

17121110	0. 02.00						
Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable	
Acenaphthene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Acenaphthylene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Anthracene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(a)anthracene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(a)pyrene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Chrysene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Fluoranthene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Fluorene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
2-Methylnaphthalene	5.5	ug/i	1	-	U	Yes	
Naphthalene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Phenanthrene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Pyrene	5.5	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	110	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
C9-C18 Aliphatics	110	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
C19-C36 Aliphatics	110	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
C11-C22 Aromatics	110	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	

Type of validation Full:X Limited:	Project Number: _MC47102
REVIEW OF EXTRACTABLE PETROLE	EUM HYDROCARBON (EPHs) PACKAGE
validation actions. This document will assist the more informed decision and in better serving to were assessed according to the data validation precedence METHOD FOR THE DETERM HYDROCARBONS (VPH), Massachusetts Depate (2004). Also the general validation guidelines	e organics were created to delineate required reviewer in using professional judgment to make he needs of the data users. The sample results in guidance documents in the following order of IINATION OF EXTRACTABLE PETROLEUM interest of Environmental Protection, Revision 1.1 promulgated by the USEPA Hazardous Wastes ation actions listed on the data review worksheets to otherwise noted.
The hardcopied (laboratory name) _Accutes received has been reviewed and the quality conreview for SVOCs included:	t_Laboratories data package trol and performance data summarized. The data
Lab. Project/SDG No.:MC47102	
X Data CompletenessX Holding TimesN/A_ GC/MS TuningN/A_ Internal Standard PerformanceX Blanks	X_ Laboratory Control SpikesX_ Field DuplicatesX_ CalibrationsX_ Compound IdentificationsX_ Compound QuantitationX_ Quantitation Limits
Overall _Extractable_Petroleum_Hydrocarbons_by_GC_ (C9_to_C36_Aliphatics;_C11_to_C22_(Aromatic	Comments: by_Method_MADEP_EPH,_REV_1.1 s)
Definition of Qualifiers:	
J- Estimated results U- Compound not detected R- Rejected data UJ- Estimated nondetect Reviewer: Alack March Date: 08/15/2016	

	Criteria were not n	All criteria were metx net and/or see below
I. DATA COMPLETN A. Data Packa		
MISSING INFORMATION	DATE LAB. CONTACTED	DATE RECEIVED
0 - 60 00		
B. Other		Discrepancies:

All criteria were met	_X
Criteria were not met and/or see below	

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of extraction, and subsequently from the time of extraction to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE	DATE	DATE	ACTION
	SAMPLED	EXTRACTED	ANALYZED	
Samples	extracted and ar	nalyzed within me	thod recommend	ed holding time
-				

Criteria

Preservation:

Aqueous samples must be acidified to a pH of 2.0 or less at the time of collection.

Soil samples must be cooled at 4 ± 2 °C immediately after collection.

Holding times:

Samples must be extracted within 14 days of collection, and analyzed within 40 days of extraction.

Cooler temperature (Criteria: 4 ± 2 °C):____1.3°C____

Actions: Qualify positive results/nondetects as follows:

If holding times are exceeded, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ). If holding times are grossly exceeded, use professional judgment to qualify data. The data reviewer may choose to estimate positive results (J) and rejects nondetects (R). If samples were not at the proper temperature (> 10°C) or improperly preserved, use professional judgment to qualify the results.

		Crite	All criteria eria were not met and/o	a were metX or see below			
CALIBRAT	IONS VERIFIC	CATION					
Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.							
Dat	e of initial calib	ration:06/22	2/16				
Dat	es of initial cali	bration verification:_	06/22/13				
Inst	rument ID num	bers:GCD	E				
Ma	trix/Level:	_AQUEOUS/MEDIU!	M				
260							
DATE	LAB FILE ID#	ANALYTE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	SAMPLES AFFECTED			
	nitial and conti	nuing calibration me	et method specific requ	uirements			

Criteria- ICAL

- Five point calibration curve.
- The percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) of the calibration factor must be
 equal to or less than 25% over the working range for the analyte of interest.
 When this condition is met, linearity through the origin may be assumed, and the
 average calibration factor is used in lieu of a calibration curve.
- A collective calibration factor must also be established for each hydrocarbon range of interest. Calculate the collective CFs for C9-C18 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons, C19-C36 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons, and C11-C22 Aromatic Hydrocarbons using the FID chromatogram. Tabulate the summation of the peak areas of all components in that fraction against the total concentration injected. The %RSD of the calibration factor must be equal to or less than 25% over the working range for the hydrocarbon range of interest.
 - The area for the surrogates must be subtracted from the area summation of the range in which they elute.
 - The areas associated with naphthalene and 2-methylnaphthalene in the aliphatic range standard must be subtracted from the uncorrected collective C9-C18 Aliphatic Hydrocarbon range area prior to calculating the CF.

Criteria- CCAL

- At a minimum, the working calibration factor must be verified on each working day, after every 20 samples or every 24 hours (whichever is more frequent), and at the end of the analytical sequence by the injection of a mid-level continuing calibration standard to verify instrument performance and linearity.
- If the percent difference (%D) for any analyte varies from the predicted response by more than ±25%, a new five-point calibration must be performed for that analyte. Greater percent differences are permissible for n-nonane. If the %D for n-nonane is greater than 30, note the nonconformance in the case narrative. It should be noted that the %Ds are calculated when CFs are used for the initial calibration and percent drifts are calculated when calibration curves using linear regression are used for the initial calibration.

Actions:

If %RSD > 25% for target compounds or a correlation coefficient < 0.99, estimate positive results (J) and use professional judgment to qualify nondetects. If % D > 25% (> 30 for nonane), estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

CALIBRATIONS VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration:06/22/16	
Dates of continuing calibration verification:08/01/	15
Dates of final calibration verification:08/01/	16
Instrument ID numbers:GCDE	
Matrix/Level:AQUEOUS/MEDIUM	

DATE	LAB FILE	ANALYTE	CRITERIA OUT	SAMPLES			
	ID#		RFs, %RSD, %D, r	AFFECTED			
Initial and continuing calibration meet method specific requirements							

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

			Criteria were not	All criteria were metX met and/or see below
VA. BLANK	(ANALYSIS R	ESULTS (Se		
		•	·	
magnitude of oblanks associated problems with evaluated to decase, or if the	contamination ated with the so any blanks eletermine whet problem is an must be run	problems. The amples, included in the case of the case	ne criteria for evaluding trip, equipma associated with ere is an inherencurrence not affects suspected of	determine the existence and fluation of blanks apply only to nent, and laboratory blanks. If the case must be carefully it variability in the data for the cting other data. A Laboratory being highly contaminated to
List the contai separately.	mination in the	blanks belo	w. High and low	levels blanks must be treated
Laboratory bla	nks			
DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
_METHOD BL	ANKS MEET 1	THE METHO	D SPECIFIC CRI	TERIA
	<u> </u>		<u></u>	
·				
Field/Trip/ <u>Equi</u>	pment			
DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
NO_TRIP/FIE _PACKAGE/	ELD_BLANKS_ ANALYTES_N	ANALYZED OT_DETECT	_ASSOCIATED_\ ED_IN_THE_EQ	WITH_THIS_DATA
Note:				

All criteria were met	X
Criteria were not met and/or see below _	

V B. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

Blank Actions

The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. Peaks must not be detected above the Reporting Limit within the retention time window of any analyte of interest. The hydrocarbon ranges must not be detected at a concentration greater than 10% of the most stringent MCP cleanup standard. Specific actions area as follows:

If the concentration is < sample quantitation limit (SQL) and < AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the SQL.

If the concentration is \geq SQL but < AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the reported concentration.

If the concentration is > AL, report the concentration unqualified.

All criteria were met _	_X
Criteria were not met and/or see below	

SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment. List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery.

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery Matrix: solid/aqueous

SAMPLE ID	SURROG S1	S2	IND S3	S4	ACTION
SURROGATE _LIMITS	_STANDAR	DS_RECOVER	RIES_WITHIN_I	LABORA	TORY_CONTROL
S1 = o-Terphen S3 = 1-Chlorood	•		S2 = 2-Fluoro S4 = 2-Bromo		40-140% ene 40-140%
QC Limits (%)* (_LL_to_UL_ QC Limits* (Soli	40_to_140_	_40_to_140_		•	
_LL_to_UL_	•	to	to	to	

Note:

It is recommended that surrogate standard recoveries be monitored and documented on a continuing basis. At a minimum, when surrogate recovery from a sample, blank, or QC sample is less than 40% or more than 140%, check calculations to locate possible errors, check the fortifying standard solution for degradation, and check changes in instrument performance.

If the cause cannot be determined, reanalyze the sample unless one of the following exceptions applies:

- (1) Obvious interference is present on the chromatogram (e.g., unresolved complex mixture);
- (2) The surrogate exhibits high recovery and associated target analytes or hydrocarbon ranges are not detected in sample.

If a sample with a surrogate recovery outside of the acceptable range is not reanalyzed based on any of these aforementioned exceptions, this information must be noted on the data report form and discussed in the Executive Report. Analysis of the sample on dilution may diminish matrix-related surrogate recovery problems. This approach can be used as long as the reporting limits to evaluate applicable MCP standards can still be achieved with the dilution. If not, reanalysis without dilution must be performed.

All criteria were met
Criteria were not met and/or see belowN/A

VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples.

At the request of the data user, and in consideration of sample matrices and data quality objectives, matrix spikes and matrix duplicates may be analyzed with every batch of 20 samples or less per matrix.

- Matrix duplicate Matrix duplicates are prepared by analyzing one sample in duplicate. The purpose of the matrix duplicates is to determine the homogeneity of the sample matrix as well as analytical precision. The RPD of detected results in the matrix duplicate samples must not exceed 50 when the results are greater than 5x the reporting limit.
- The desired spiking level is 50% of the highest calibration standard. However, the total concentration in the MS (including the MS and native concentration in the unspiked sample) should not exceed 75% of the highest calibration standard in order for a proper evaluation to be performed. The purpose of the matrix spike is to determine whether the sample matrix contributes bias to the analytical results. The corrected concentrations of each analyte within the matrix spiking solution must be within 40 - 140% of the true value. Lower recoveries of nnonane are permissible but must be noted in the narrative if <30%.

M2/M2D Keco	veries and Precision C	riteria			
Sample ID:				Matrix/Level:	-
List the %Rs, R	PD of the compounds	which do not	t meet t	he QC criteria.	
MS OR MSD	COMPOUND	% R	RPD	QC LIMITS	ACTION
			-		
			_		

limits.

Note: No MS/MSD analyzed with this data package. LCS/LCSD used to

assess accuracy. % recoveries and RPD within laboratory control

		Crite	ria were n	All criteria ot met and/or see	were met below N/A
No action is taken of informed professions conjunction with other data. In those install affect only the samp However, it may be a systematic problem associated samples.	al judgment, the CC criteria ances where it could be spiked, the determined through in the analysis.	ults alon ne data and deter can be d qualificat ugh the l	e to quality reviewer of the	fy the entire case may use the MS need for some quality that the results do be limited to the sults that the lab	. However, used i/MSD results in ualification of the of the MS/MSD is sample alone. poratory is having
2. MS/MSD – U	nspiked Compo	ounds			
List the concentration compounds in the un					
COMPOUND	CONCENTRA SAMPLE	ATION MS	MSD	%RPD	ACTION
					-
X 100 0 0					
					
	-				
Criteria: None specifi	ed, use %RSD	<u><</u> 50 as ∣	professior	nal judgment.	
Actions:					
If the % RSD > 50, q If the % RSD is not MSD, use profession	calculable (NC) due to	nondetec	t value in the san	J). nple, MS, and/or

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

		All criteria were metX Criteria were not met and/or see below						
	VIII.	LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS/LCSD) ANALYSIS						
matric		lata is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various						
	1.	LCS Recoveries Criteria						
		List the %R of compounds which do not meet the criteria						
LCS II)	COMPOUND % R QC LIMIT ACTION						
LCS	S_REC	OVERY_WITHIN_LABORATORY_CONTROL_LIMTS						
	-							
	Refer to QAPP for specific criteria. The spike recovery must be between 40% and 140%. Lower recoveries of n-nonane are permissible. If the recovery of n-nonane is <30%, note the nonconformance in the executive narrative. RPD between LCS/LCSD must be < 25%.							
		is on LCS recovery should be based on both the number of compounds re outside the %R and RPD criteria and the magnitude of the excedance of						
the as If the ' for the If more qualify	sociate %R of the affected than the second that the second than the second that the second than the second than the second than the second that the second than the second than the second than the second that the second than the second than the second than the second that the second than the second than the second than the second thas the second than the second than the second than the second tha	the analyte is > UL, qualify all positive results (j) for the affected analyte in d samples and accept nondetects. The analyte is < LL, qualify all positive results (j) and reject (R) nondetects analyte in the associated samples. The compounds in the LCS are not within the required recovery criteria, sitive results as (J) and reject nondetects (R) for all target analyte(s) in the amples.						
2.	Freque	ency Criteria:						
per ma f no, t the eff	atrix)? <u>Y</u> the data ect and	analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix (1 per 20 samples fes or No. a may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of department qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples cuss the actions below:						

		All criteria were metX Criteria were not met and/or see below		
IX.	FIELD/LABORATORY DUPLICATE P	RECISION		
Sample	e IDs:MC47102-2/MC47102-3		Matrix:	Groundwater

Field/laboratory duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which measures only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.

COMPOUND	SQL	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION			
Field duplicate analyzed with this data package. RPD within laboratory and validation guidance document criteria (± 50 % RPD) for analytes concentration ≥ 5 SQL.								

Criteria:

The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information. RPD \pm 30% for aqueous samples, RPD \pm 50% for solid samples if results are \geq SQL. If both samples and duplicate are <5 SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.

SQL = soil quantitation limit

Actions:

If both the sample and the duplicate results are nondetects (ND), the RPD is not calculable (NC). No action is needed.

Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria.

If one sample result is not detected and the other is $\geq 5x$ the SQL qualify (J/UJ).

Note: If SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If one sample value is not detected and the other is < 5x the SQL, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

All criteria were metX	
Criteria were not met and/or see below	

XI. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The compound identification evaluation is to verify that the laboratory correctly identified target analytes as well as tentatively identified compounds (TICs).

- 1. Verify that the target analytes were within the retention time windows.
 - Retention time windows must be re-established for each Target EPH Analyte each time a new GC column is installed, and must be verified and/or adjusted on a daily basis.
 - o The n-nonane (n-C9) peak must be adequately resolved from the solvent front of the chromatographic run.
 - o All surrogates must be adequately resolved from the Aliphatic Hydrocarbon and Aromatic Hydrocarbon standards.
 - o For the purposes of this method, adequate resolution is assumed to be achieved if the height of the valley between two peaks is less than 25% of the average height of the two peaks.
 - The n-pentane (C5) and MtBE peaks must be adequately resolved from any solvent front that may be present on the FID and PID chromatograms, respectively.
- 1a. Aliphatic hydrocarbons range:
 - o Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.1 minutes before the retention time (Rt) for n-C9 and 0.01 minutes before the Rt for n-C19.
 - Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.01 minutes before the Rt for n-C19 and 0.1 minutes after the Rt for n-C36.

Are the aliphatic hydrocarbons range properly determined?

Yes? or No?

Comments:

- 1b. Aromatic hydrocarbons range:
 - Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.1 minutes before the retention time (Rt) for naphthalene and 0.1 minutes after the Rt for benzo(g,h,i)perylene.
 - Determine the peak area count for the sample surrogate (OTP) and fractionation surrogate(s). Subtract these values from the collective area count value.

Are the aliphatic hydrocarbons range properly determined?

Yes? or No?

Comments:

Comments: Not applicable.

	Criteria were no		vere metX see below
2.	If target analytes and/or TICs were not correctly laboratory resubmit the corrected data.	identified,	request that the
			×
3.	Breakthrough determination - Each sample (field evaluated for potential breakthrough on a sample symmetric recovery of the fractionation surrogate (2-bromo basis by quantifying naphthalene and 2-methylnap and aromatic fractions of the LCS and LCSD. If naphthalene or 2-methylnaphthalene in the aliphthe total concentration for naphthalene or 2-me or LCSD, fractionation must be repeated on all a	pecific basis to the control of the	by evaluating the and on a batch the aliphatic oncentration of exceeds 5% of the LCS
	NOTE: The total concentration methylnaphthalene in the L summation of the conce aliphatic fraction and the coaromatic fraction.	.CS/LCSD pa entration de	air includes the etected in the
	Comments:Concentration_in_the_aliphatic_fraction_concentration_for_naphthalene_and_2-methylnaph	nthalene	the_total
4.	Fractionation Check Standard — A fractionation containing 14 alkanes and 17 PAHs at a nominal each constituent. The Fractionation Check Solution fractionation efficiency of each new lot of silica gel optimum hexane volume required to efficiently elute not allowing significant aromatic hydrocarbon brecontained in the fractionation check solution, excluded experiments and the process of the proc	concentration must be used /cartridges, a sliphatic hydakthrough. Fading n-nona	of 200 ng/µl of d to evaluate the nd establish the lrocarbons while or each analyte ne, the Percent
	Is a fractionation check standard analyzed?		Yes? or No?

All criteria were met _	_X
Criteria were not met and/or see below	

XII. QUANTITATION LIMITS AND SAMPLE RESULTS

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results.

In order to demonstrate the absence of aliphatic mass discrimination, the response ratio of C28 to C20 must be at least 0.85. If <0.85, this nonconformance must be noted in the laboratory case narrative.

The chromatograms of Continuing Calibration Standards for aromatics must be reviewed to ensure that there are no obvious signs of mass discrimination.

Is aliphatic mass discrimination observed in the sample?

Yes? or No?

Is aromatic mass discrimination observed in the sample?

Yes? or No?

1. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

Blank Spike

EPH (C11 – C22, Aromatics)

RF = 124800

[] = (41399362)/(124800)

[] = 331.8 ug/ml Ok

Blank Spike

EPH (C19 – C36, Aliphatics)

RF = 77820

[] = (584752)/(77820)

[] = 7.51 ug/ml Ok

- 2. If requested, verify that the results were above the laboratory method detection limit (MDLs).
- 3. If dilutions performed, were the SQLs elevated accordingly by the laboratory? List the affected samples and dilution factor in the table below.

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASON FOR DILUTION			
	4				
	337				
	3				

If dilution was not performed, affected samples/compounds:	estimate	results	(J)	for	the	affected	compounds.	List the